

No. 9(1)-81-8-Lab/2583.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s The Metal Rolling Mills Association, Jagadhri :—

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 109 of 79

between

SHRI ROSHAN LAL, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S THE METAL
ROLLING MILLS, ASSOCIATION, JAGADHRI

Present :

Shri Madhu Sudan, for the workman.

Shri Subhash Chander, for the management.

AWARD

This reference has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor,—vide his order No. ID/83-78/21852, dated 18th May, 1979, under section 10(i)(c) of the I.D. Act for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Roshan Lal, workman and the management of M/s The Metal Rolling Mills, Association, Jagadhri. The term of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Roshan Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On the receipt of the order of reference, notices as usual were sent to the parties. Neither of the parties appeared on 27th June, 1979 in response to the same. Fresh notices under registered A.D. were issued for 26th July, 1979. The management did not appear on this date of hearing and appeared for the first time on 25th October, 1979. The workman impleaded Shri Fateh Chand on behalf of the management who appeared on 23rd January, 1980 and made a statement on oath whereby disassociated himself from the management as such he was unable to own any responsibility on behalf of the management regarding the demand of the workman and prayed that he may be exempted from being impleaded as the respondent. The workman was asked to lead his evidence on the issue whether Shri Fateh Chand still represented the respondent association. The workman failed to adduce any evidence on this issue and at last on 19th February, 1981 his authorised representative Shri Madhu Sudan made the following statement :—

“The dispute has been settled amicably. Award may be given accordingly as there is no claim what so ever neither monatory nor for employment is left.”

In view of his statement no further adjudication is required as the dispute has been settled mutually and amicably. Reference is answered and returned accordingly.

Dated 28th February, 1981.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endst. No. 590, dated 6th March, 1981

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court Haryana,
Rohtak.

H. L. GUGHANI, Comm. & Secy.